
From: Wall, Tom
To: Best-Wong, Benita; Evans, David
Sent: 12/23/2013 10:23:59 AM
Subject: FYI - AP picked up the OR story re: CZARA proposed disapproval

From: Hall, Lynda
Sent: Friday, December 20, 2013 8:45 AM
To: Evans, David; Wall, Tom; Bonanno, Gale
Subject: AP picked up the OR story

I couldn't get to links below on my bb but sharing FYI

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal <allison.castellan@noaa.gov>
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 9:53:13 PM
To: Psyk, Christine
Cc: Holsman, Marianne; Powers, David; Barber, Anthony; Opalski, Dan; Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; Waye, Don; Hall, Lynda
Subject: Re: Quick question on deadline

Looks like others are picking up the AP story:

<http://www.ktvz.com/news/Feds-Ore-needs-better-coastal-pollution-controls/-/413192/23570796/-/g3od0hz/-/index.html>

http://www.ktvI.com/shared/news/top-stories/stories/ktvI_vid_10530.shtml

http://tdn.com/news/state-and-regional/oregon/feds-ore-needs-better-coastal-pollution-controls/article_66fab7a2-03f1-5a13-b376-9c40635ffc0e.html

<http://www.carollo.com/industryNews2>

http://www.koin.com/news/oregon/feds-ore-needs-better-coastal-pollution-controls_41479888

http://hosted2.ap.org/ORBEN/b9c78830725e4646849871a247322f76/Article_2013-12-19-Coastal%20Pollution-Oregon/id-8a11e74852fa4d6981f5df157c239e3e#.UrOt2vuqqlc

http://www.heraldandnews.com/news/oregon/article_9b6f746f-466a-5cfb-ae03-d0c5de15036e.html

http://www.dailyastorian.com/news/northwest/feds-ore-needs-better-coastal-pollution-controls/article_eaafa18a-8eba-5bdf-9aa3-f067eb94d458.html

http://www.eastoregonian.com/news/northwest/feds-ore-needs-better-coastal-pollution-controls/article_56c48b95-e3ae-5e20-b09c-160030d45b4f.html

And picked up Statesman article: <http://www.wopular.com/oregon-lose-millions-clean-water-grants>

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 8:07 PM, Psyk, Christine <Psyk.Christine@epa.gov> wrote:
Except for the inaccurate reference to the \$4 million, I'd say we're getting good coverage.

Christine Psyk

Associate Director, R10 OWW

206-553-1906

psyk.christine@epa.gov

From: Holsman, Marianne
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 4:47 PM
To: Psyk, Christine; Powers, David; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Barber, Anthony; Opalski, Dan
Cc: Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; Waye, Don; Hall, Lynda
Subject: RE: Quick question on deadline

Yes, great work by all! Here's the first clip I can find from the Salem paper:

<http://www.statesmanjournal.com/article/20131219/UPDATE/131219013/Oregon-lose-millions-clean-water-grants>

Marianne

206.553.1237 desk
206.450.5895 cell

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Psyk, Christine
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 4:21 PM
To: Powers, David; Holsman, Marianne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Barber, Anthony; Opalski, Dan
Cc: Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; Waye, Don; Hall, Lynda
Subject: RE: Quick question on deadline

Thank you, Dave. Your depth of knowledge on forestry is so critical at times like these. Also, thanks to Ben, Allison, Joelle, Marianne, Don and everyone for just stepping it up and doing a great scramble to respond and get our message out there.

While timing and circumstances were not ideal, you all handled it so well. We'll see what tomorrow brings.

Christine Psyk

Associate Director, R10 OWW

206-553-1906

psyk.christine@epa.gov

From: Powers, David
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 3:55 PM
To: Holsman, Marianne; allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Psyk, Christine; Barber, Anthony; Opalski, Dan
Cc: Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal; Waye, Don; Powers, David
Subject: RE: Quick question on deadline

Thanks for the info Allison. Marianne and I just had a conversation with Len Davis from the Oregonian Editorial Board. Based on the relatively short discussion Len may have already decided on the storyline...although he indicated that it hadn't been fully vetted with Editorial Board. I anticipate the following themes in the editorial. But for CZARA litigation the disapproval wouldn't be happening as evidenced by other States without fully approved programs; Oregon exceptionalism sets the State of Oregon apart...we do things differently and exceptionally in Oregon; and its ironic that the very funds that the State could lose help to Oregon do great things for fish and water. I added that it was also ironic that the federal funding the State could lose has helped fund the research that strengthens a significant body of science demonstrating that changes to forest practices are needed to protect salmon, water quality and public water supplies.

We spent most of the discussion talking about what the forestry issues were and what possible options the State had for addressing those issues. I described possible general forestry approaches and added that the States of WA and CA each took different approaches to address the same forestry issues with some of the same water quality and salmon challenges that are still unresolved in OR. I also explained that a coastal zone wide, statewide, or basin specific approach could be viable if it successfully addressed the outstanding forestry issues.

Len was interested in who in the State was responsible for the enforceability of management measures and whether a legislative fix was needed. I indicated that both ODF and DEQ had the authority to address and enforce water quality issues related to forestry issues under their respective programs without a legislative fix.

Len said that people just don't relate to the ESA and salmonids...I acknowledged a disconnect on technical jargon but shared that water quality, salmon and drinking water were consistently ranked as some of the highest priority issues in OR public opinion polls. I squeezed in that 123 of the 142 public water systems in Oregon are in watersheds that have state or private forestlands. The editorial will likely be in the Sunday Oregonian. Dave

From: Holsman, Marianne
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 2:03 PM
To: allison.castellan@noaa.gov; Psyk, Christine
Cc: Powers, David; Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal
Subject: RE: Quick question on deadline

Thanks Allison. I will send this Q and A language along w/the list of states to the reporter.

Marianne

206.553.1237 desk
206.450.5895 cell

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Allison Castellan - NOAA Federal [<mailto:allison.castellan@noaa.gov>]
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 1:56 PM
To: Psyk, Christine
Cc: Holsman, Marianne; Powers, David; Ben Sherman - NOAA Federal; John King - NOAA Federal; Joelle Gore - NOAA Federal
Subject: Re: Quick question on deadline

Marianne--

The 10 other states that are conditionally approved: Washington, Hawaii, Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Alabama, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio.

Christine is correct, the Q&A developed along those lines is:

The agencies prefer to work with states to build programs that are approvable. However, NOAA and EPA were sued for failing to issue a final approval or disapproval decision for Oregon's program. The Settlement Agreement for that lawsuit requires EPA and NOAA to make a propose a decision on the state program's approvability and make a final decision by May 2014. As a result, the agencies must act and do not have the flexibility they might have without

court-required deadlines.

On Thu, Dec 19, 2013 at 4:38 PM, Psyk, Christine <Psyk.Christine@epa.gov> wrote:
Marianne, Jayne can give you the list of the 10 states that have not yet been approved. I've asked her to send them to you.

Both EPA and NOAA will make a final decision for these states. We just don't have a firm schedule. We are required via the settlement agreement to make a final decision by date certain.

I can explain more.

From: Holsman, Marianne
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 1:19:13 PM
To: allison.castellan@noaa.gov
Cc: Powers, David; Psyk, Christine
Subject: FW: Quick question on deadline

Hi Allison:

Can you help us answer the reporter question below ASAP?

Marianne

[206.553.1237](tel:206.553.1237) desk
[206.450.5895](tel:206.450.5895) cell

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Shukovsky, Paul [<mailto:PShukovsky@bna.com>]
Sent: Thursday, December 19, 2013 12:57 PM
To: Holsman, Marianne
Subject: Quick question on deadline

Hi Marianne,

The Or. press release says that whey the feds disapprove the program “it will set a precedent. Ten other states have conditionally-approved program, as does Oregon, but EPA and NOAA are not planning to take final action on those plans without first working to resolve issues.”

It goes on “Oregon would be in the same position if it were not for EPA and NOAA’s settlement of litigation.”

You’ve already told me: “We have to take action because we are under a court order to do so.”

I just want to know if the Ore. press release is correct when it says EPA is not planning to take final action on the other states have conditionally approved programs?

Also what are the other states?

Thanks Marianne. Sure do appreciate your help.

Rgds,

Paul

Paul Shukovsky

Bloomberg BNA

Mobile: 206.718.0348
Pshukovsky@bna.com

--

~~ <> ~ ~ >< ~ ~ <> ~ ~

Allison Castellan
Coastal Management Specialist
Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management N/ORM3
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Phone: 301-563-1125
Fax: 301-713-4004
allison.castellan@noaa.gov
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov

--

~~ <> ~ ~ >< ~ ~ <> ~ ~

Allison Castellan
Coastal Management Specialist
Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management N/ORM3
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, SSMC4
Silver Spring, MD 20910
Phone: 301-563-1125
Fax: 301-713-4004
allison.castellan@noaa.gov
http://coastalmanagement.noaa.gov